```
ECFS - Email Filing
<PROCEEDING>96-45
<DATE>02/08/2005
<NAME>Judy Holtz
<ADDRESS1>199 Huckleberry Lane
<ADDRESS2>
<CITY>Sheridan
<STATE>AR
<ZIP>72150
<LAW-FIRM>
<ATTORNEY>
<FILE-NUMBER>
<DOCUMENT-TYPE> RC
<PHONE-NUMBER>
<DESCRIPTION>
<CONTACT-EMAIL>holtzjudy@hotmail.com
<TEXT>ECFS - Email Filing
<PROCEEDING>96-45
<DATE>02/08/2005
<NAME>Jessie Price
<ADDRESS1>198 Huckleberry Lane
<ADDRESS2>
<CITY>Sheridan
<STATE>AR
<ZIP>72150
<LAW-FIRM>
<ATTORNEY>
<FILE-NUMBER>
<DOCUMENT-TYPE> RC
<PHONE-NUMBER>
<DESCRIPTION>
<CONTACT-EMAIL>cjwcontests@hotmail.com
<TEXT>ECFS - Email Filing
<PROCEEDING>96-45
<DATE>02/08/2005
<NAME>Chip Woolley
<ADDRESS1>199 Huckleberry Lane
<ADDRESS2>
<CITY>Sheridan
<STATE>AR
<ZIP>72150
<LAW-FIRM>
<ATTORNEY>
<FILE-NUMBER>
<DOCUMENT-TYPE> RC
<PHONE-NUMBER>
<DESCRIPTION>
<CONTACT-EMAIL>chipwoolley@hotmail.com
<TEXT>ECFS - Email Filing
<PROCEEDING>96-45
<DATE>02/08/2005
<NAME>Judy Holtz
<ADDRESS1>199 Huckleberry Lane
<ADDRESS2>
<CITY>Sheridan
<STATE>AR
<ZIP>72150
```

```
<LAW-FIRM>
<ATTORNEY>
<FILE-NUMBER>
<DOCUMENT-TYPE> RC
<PHONE-NUMBER>
<DESCRIPTION>
<CONTACT-EMAIL>holtzjudy@hotmail.com
<TEXT>ECFS - Email Filing
<PROCEEDING>96-45
<DATE>02/08/2005
<NAME>Jessie Price
<ADDRESS1>198 Huckleberry Lane
<ADDRESS2>
<CITY>Sheridan
<STATE>AR
<ZIP>72150
<LAW-FIRM>
<ATTORNEY>
<FILE-NUMBER>
<DOCUMENT-TYPE> RC
<PHONE-NUMBER>
<DESCRIPTION>
<CONTACT-EMAIL>cjwcontests@hotmail.com
<TEXT>ECFS - Email Filing
<PROCEEDING>96-45
<DATE>02/08/2005
<NAME>Chip Woolley
<ADDRESS1>199 Huckleberry Lane
<ADDRESS2>
<CITY>Sheridan
<STATE>AR
<ZIP>72150
<LAW-FIRM>
<ATTORNEY>
<FILE-NUMBER>
<DOCUMENT-TYPE> RC
<PHONE-NUMBER>
<DESCRIPTION>
<CONTACT-EMAIL>chipwoolley@hotmail.com
<TEXT>ECFS - Email Filing
<PROCEEDING>96-45
<DATE>02/08/2005
<NAME>Jessica Woolley
<ADDRESS1>199 Huckleberry Lane
<ADDRESS2>
<CITY>Sheridan
<STATE>AR
<ZIP>72150
<LAW-FIRM>
<ATTORNEY>
<FILE-NUMBER>
<DOCUMENT-TYPE> RC
<PHONE-NUMBER>
<DESCRIPTION>
<CONTACT-EMAIL>woleys1@hotmail.com
<TEXT>I do not want to pay more for my telephone service! I urge
you to reject a flat fee proposal that would change how
```

contributions are made to the Universal Service Fund. I am concerned that this proposal could make my current service unaffordable.

Under the flat fee proposal you are considering, people who make few long distance calls would pay the same as people or businesses that make many calls. In other words, low-volume and primarily residential customers would bear the same universal service fund burden as a high-volume residential or business customers. This is unfair!

I use my wireless phone for safety, security and convenience. I don't want to lose these benefits so that big businesses can pay less than their fair share. I urge you to reject the proposal to move the USF collection system to a flat-fee.

Keep the USF Fair!

Sincerely,

gearldine ward 2555 hwy 30 w annville, Kentucky 40402